1	IF CITY OF
2	Street and a stree
3	S + COMMUNICUL
4	MECTU
5	CITY OF HARTFORD
6	VIRTUAL MEETING OF THE
7	HARTFORD HISTORIC PROPERTIES &
8	HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSIONS
9	DRAFT MINUTES
10	March 17, 2021
11	
12	The Hartford Historic Properties & Preservation Commissions held a Virtual Public Hearing at
13	4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 17, 2021
14 15	Hautford Historia Droparties Commission
15 16	Hartford Historic Properties Commission Attendance
10	<u>Present</u> : Chair Jeffrey Jahnke, Commissioners Albert Gary, Virginia Seeley, Alternate Commissioners
18	Zoe Chatfield and Carey Shea
19	Absent, Commissioner Edith Pestana
20	<u>Staff Present</u> : Aimee Chambers, Autumn Florek, Richard Vassallo and Vanessa Walton
21	<u>Sun resent</u> . Année Chambers, Autanni ristek, Richard Vassario and Vanossa Watton
22	CALL TO ORDER
23	Chair Jeffrey Jahnke called the meeting to order at 4:27 pm. This meeting started late due to technical
24	difficulties.
25	
26	HISTORIC REVIEWS
27	There were none.
28	
29	ADJOURNMENT
30	The meeting adjourned at 4:29 p.m.
31	
32	Hartford Historic Preservation Commission
33	Attendance
34	Present: Chair Jeffrey Jahnke, Commissioners Jonathan Clark- (arrived at 4:37 pm), Albert Gary,
35	Virginia Seeley, Alternate Commissioners Zoe Chatfield and Carey Shea
36	Absent, Commissioner Edith Pestana
37	Staff Present: Aimee Chambers, Autumn Florek, Richard Vassallo and Vanessa Walton
38	
39	CALL TO ORDER
40	Chair Jeffrey Jahnke called the meeting to order at 4:29 pm. and appointed Alternate Commissioner
41	Carey Shea as a voting member for this meeting.
42	
43	At this time Commissioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to SUSPEND the RULES for 151 Ward
44	Street to be added to agenda, under the New Business section, as item d., allowing an update to be given
45	by Attorney Richard Vassallo of Corporation Council. Seconded by Commissioner Carey Shea. There
46	was a unanimous 5-0 vote and the item was added.

47	HISTOR	IC REVIEWS				
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	1. Continued- 97 Whitney Street This was a continuation from the January 20, 2021 meeting regarding the proposal for the for the replacement of wood and vinyl window on the front façade, with vinyl windows. At that meeting, the Commission raised concerns with the replacement of the original wood windows on the front of the home and with the consent of the applicant, TABLED the decision. However, an approval was given which allowed the applicant to move forward with the replace of the windows on the side and rear of the home as proposed.					
56 57 58	This is a 1922 Colonial Revival/Four Square Two Family residential building, located in the West End North National Historic District.					
59 60 61 62 63	th	enior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. There to speak on behalf of the applicant, Mr. Kevin Elston of Renewal by Anderson of Southern New England, who spoke on behalf of Mr. Fullerton. He addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There are no further questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed.				
64 65 66		ommissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to AMEND the resolution at the 2 nd Resolve ith the following:				
67 68 69		The wood windows on the front façade shall not be replaced				
70 71 72	Seconded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The AMENDED resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 .					
73		CITY OF HARTFORD				
74	HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION					
75		97 WHITNEY STREET				
76						
77 78 79	Whereas	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposal for the proposed replacement of windows at 95-97 Whitney Street; and				
80 81 82	Whereas	The subject property is a contributing property in the West End North National Historic District; and				
83 84 85	Whereas	The structure was built in 1922 and represents a mix of Colonial Revival and Four Square styles; and				
86 87	Whereas	The architect is believed to have been Burton Sellew; and				
88 89 90	Whereas	The structure has at least twenty 6/1 wood windows including six on the front façade and five on the right or south facade; and				
91 92 93	Whereas	The structure has seven 6/1 wood and composite windows installed on the front and side third-story dormers; and				
94 95	Whereas	The structures in the surrounding area are distinguished by the significant number of wood windows; and				
96 97 98	Whereas	The neighboring structures are distinguished by the prevalence of 6/1 windows; and				
99 100	Whereas	The Applicant proposes to replace some of the existing windows with Fibrex				

101		composite windows.			
102 103	Whereas,	The proposed work, modified to conform with the conditions included in this			
105	whereas,	resolution, will be consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles;			
105					
106		Now Therefore Be It			
107					
108	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approves the			
109		proposed work with conditions.			
110 111	Resolved,	The wood windows on the front facade shall not be replaced.			
112	Resolveu,	The wood windows on the none facade shan not be replaced.			
113	Resolved,	The 6/1 windows on the third-story of the front and side facades shall			
114		only be replaced in-kind.			
115					
116	Resolved,	Windows that are replaced should match the dimensions of the existing			
117 118		components such as stiles, rails, muntins, glazing bars, and area of glass as			
110		closely as possible.			
120		Be It Further,			
121					
122	Resolved,	This 17th day of March 2021			
123					
124		armington Avenue			
125		was a review of the nomination of Aetna/Comet Diner to the National Register of Historic			
126 127		s. This is a Modern/Streamlined Moderne Commercial building that was built in 1947, with dition built in 1948. It's located in the Laurel and Marshall Streats NHD, Asylum Hill			
127	an addition built in 1948. It's located in the Laurel and Marshall Streets NHD, Asylum Hill MRA districts.				
129					
130	Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal and read a letter of support into				
131	the records from the Chair, Ms. Jacky McKinney of the ANA NRZ and a letter from State				
132	Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) regarding the nomination, which stated that the nomination				
133					
134 135					
136	Suppo	At of the nonlinearon, which she also read into the records.			
137	The P	Public Hearing was opened and the applicant, Mr. Wayne Benjamin, representing Triumph			
138		ares addressed and answered question from the Commission. The Commission also heard			
139		Mr. Eric LaChapelle of Mayor Design Group Architecture Firm, and Ms. Jackey			
140					
141 142					
142	Comr	nissioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to ACCEPT the resolution as written by staff,			
144		nded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 .			
145					
146		CITY OF HARTFORD			
147		HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION			
148		267 FARMINGTON AVENUE			
149					
150	Whereas,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the nomination of the			
151		property at 267 Farmington Avenue to the National Register of Historic Places; and			
152	XX 71-				
153 154	Whereas,	The subject site is in the Laurel and Marshall Streets National Historic District, which is part of the Asylum Hill multiple Resource Area; and			
104					
		3			

155					
155					
157	(nor cuby	The structure represent the sinte in consumer tastes in the find 25° contary, and			
158	Whereas,	The siting and design of the structure illustrates the shift in development patterns that			
159		occurred in the postwar period; and			
160					
161	Whereas,	The structure is an excellent example of prefab Modern and Streamlined Moderne architecture; and			
162					
163					
164	Whereas,	The structure retains materials such as glass block and metallic prefab sections; and			
165					
166	Whereas,	The structure retains the detailing that dates to its construction; and			
167	XX /1	The many state has not since this interaction and historic sites and			
168	Whereas,	The property has retained its integrity as a historic site; and			
169 170	Whomood	The momenty meets the (a) and (C) emitaria for listing on the National Desistant and			
170 171	Whereas,	The property meets the (a) and (C) criteria for listing on the National Register; and			
171	Whereas,	The nomination is consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles;			
172	whereas,	The nonlination is consistent with the City's Historic Design Finicipies,			
174		Now Therefore Be It			
175					
176	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby supports the nomination			
177	1100 01 1 0 01	of the site to the National Register of Historic Places			
178					
179		Be It Further,			
180					
181	Resolved,	This 17 th day of March 2021			
182					
102					
182	3. 9-11	Burton Street			
	This	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909			
183 184 185	This Quee	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic			
183 184 185 186	This	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic			
183 184 185 186 187	This Quee Distr	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict.			
183 184 185 186 187 188	This Quee Distr Senio	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 in Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed.			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 in Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff,			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed.			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 .			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Comi Seco	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION <i>9</i> BURTON STREET			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION <i>9</i> BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Comi Seco	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION <i>9</i> BURTON STREET			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Comi Seco	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION <i>9</i> BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com Secon	was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, nded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 . CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION 9 BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed improvements at 9-11 Burton Street; and			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com Secon Whereas, Whereas,	 was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, anded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0. CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION 9 BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed improvements at 9-11 Burton Street; and The subject property is a contributing property in the Upper Albany National Historic District; and 			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com Secon	 was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, anded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0. CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION 9 BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed improvements at 9-11 Burton Street; and The subject property is a contributing property in the Upper Albany National 			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Comi Secon Whereas, Whereas, Whereas,	 was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, anded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0. CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION 9 BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed improvements at 9-11 Burton Street; and The subject property is a contributing property in the Upper Albany National Historic District; and The subject structure is a Queen Anne Structure built in 1909; and 			
183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205	This Quee Distr Senic Lugo no fu Com Secon Whereas, Whereas,	 was a proposal for the installation of vents on the side elevation and rear doors of a 1909 n Anne Three-Family Residential home, located in the Upper Albany National Historic ict. or Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Marlon was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were rther questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed. missioner Albert Gary made a MOTION to accept the resolution as written by staff, anded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0. CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION 9 BURTON STREET The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed improvements at 9-11 Burton Street; and The subject property is a contributing property in the Upper Albany National Historic District; and 			

209 210	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to install 3 vents on the right side façade; and			
210 211 212	Whereas,	The proposed vents would be installed on the first, second, and third stories; and			
212 213 214	Whereas,	The proposed vents would be approximately 11" x 12"; and			
214 215 216	Whereas,	The proposed vents would provide ventilation for bathroom exhaust; and			
210 217 218 219	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to replace two exterior doors on the rear façade of the structure; and			
220	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to install vinyl flooring on the enclosed front porch; and			
221 222 223	Whereas,	The proposed vents would have a negligible impact; and			
224 225	Whereas,	The proposed doors and vinyl flooring would not be visible from the street; and			
226 227	Whereas,	The proposal is consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles;			
228		Now therefore Be It			
229					
230 231	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approves the proposed work.			
231		proposed work.			
233		Be It Further,			
234					
235	Resolved,	This 17th day of March 2021.			
236					
237		Charter Oak Place			
238		vas a proposal for the installation of vents on the exterior and for the replacement of in-kind			
239		ws on a 1926 Multi Family Residential Classical Revival home. The building is located in			
240					
241 242	Sonior	Planner Autumn Florek gave a thorough overview of the proposal. The applicant, Mr.			
242		owen, Architect, and Mr. Jason Leewe were present and they addressed and answered			
243		ons from the Commission. There were no further questions, testimony or comments and			
245	•	blic Hearing was closed.			
246					
247	Comm	issioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to APPROVE the resolution as written by			
248		Seconded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote			
249	of 5-0 .				
250		CITY OF HARTFORD			
251					
252		HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION			
253		37-39 CHARTER OAK PLACE			
254 255 256 257	Whereas,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed vents and in-kind replacement of windows at 37-39 Charter Oak Place; and			
257 258 259	Whereas,	The subject property is listed in the district nomination as a 'non-critical' property; and			
260 261 262	Whereas,	The subject structure is an apartment building built in 1926; and			

263 264	Whereas,	The structure was damaged in a fire that occurred in March 2020; and				
265 266	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to install 3 louvered vents on the exterior; and				
267 268	Whereas,	The proposed vents would comply with building codes; and				
269 270 271	Whereas,	The proposed vents are designed to handle exhaust from the bathrooms in the building; and				
271 272 273	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to replace 58 windows in-kind; and				
274		Now Therefore Be It				
275 276 277 278	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approves the proposed work.				
278 279 280		Be It Further,				
281 282	Resolved,	This 17th day of March 2021.				
283	5. 585 Park	Street				
284	-	oposal for the installation of a projecting sign on the Park Street façade of the new branch of				
285		blic Library which was the former site of the historic Lyric Theater. The building is located				
286	in the Frog He	ollow National Historic District.				
287						
288	Senior Planne	r Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. There to speak was Ms. Diana Lanser				
289	of TSKP Stuc	lio was present, and she spoke on behalf of the applicant. Also present was Mr. Glenn				
290	Geathers of the City of Hartford Economic Development. They both answered questions and addressed					
291	the Commission. There were no questions, testimony or comments from the public and the Public					
292	Hearing was closed.					
293	Heating was clustu.					
	Commissions	" Vincinia Scalay mode a MOTION to ACCEPT the recolution of written by staff				
294						
295	Seconded by	Commissioner Albert Gary. The resolution was APPROVED by a vote of 5-0 .				
296						
297		CITY OF HARTFORD				
298		HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION				
299		585 PARK STREET				
300						
301	Whereas,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed				
302		modifications to a sign at 585 Park Street approved by the Commission in 2017; and				
303						
304	Whereas,	The subject property is in the Frog Hollow National Historic District; and				
305	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
306	Whereas,	The Commission previously reviewed the sign design when it approved the demolition of				
307	() Her cuby	the Lyric Theater in August 2017; and				
308		the Eyric Theater in Magast 2017, and				
309	Whereas,	The Commission previously approved a 2' x 10' projecting sign; and				
	whereas,	The Commission previously approved a 2 x 10 projecting sign, and				
310	**/1					
311	Whereas,	The sign approved previously had text that read "Hartford Public library"; and				
312						
313	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes a modified 1.5' x 18' projecting sign; and				
314						
315	Whereas,	The proposed sign would be internally illuminated with light emitted through stencil cut				
316		letters; and				

317					
318	Whereas,	The proposed sign text would read "Public Library at the Lyric"; and			
319	() 1101 00 03	The proposed sign content to and tende Themes and Listing and the Lyric , and			
320	Whereas,	The proposed modifications are meant to recognize the historic Lyric Theater that			
321		operated on the site; and			
322					
323	Whereas,	The proposed modifications are in line with requirements stipulated by SHPO when it			
324		approved the demolition of the Lyric Theater in 2017; and			
325	Whomood	The moneyed work is consistent with the City's Historic Design Dringinless			
326 327	Whereas,	The proposed work is consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles;			
328		Now Therefore Be It			
329		Now meletole be R			
330	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approves the proposed			
331	,	work.			
332					
333		Be It Further,			
334					
335	Resolved,	This 17th day of March 2021.			
336					
337	6. 556	Zion Street			
338	This	was a proposal for modifications to the originally proposed design to allow an as built			
339		front that deviates from the prior 2017 Historic Commission approval. The building is an			
340	1899	Commercial Residential Triple Decker building, located in the Frog Hollow National			
341	Histe	oric District.			
342					
343	Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. Mr. Robert Hurd of the				
344	Architects was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission on behalf				
345					
346					
347					
348		Commission deliberated and Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to AMEND			
349	the r	esolution to add the following conditions:			
350					
351		1. The originally proposed transom window over the apartment door be installed			
352		2. That the original top window line, which is the same line at the top of the door			
353		opening be maintained across the façade, to be worked out between architect,			
354 255		owner and staff That the architect sumplies a completed punch list with items he finds deficient to			
355 356		3. That the architect supplies a completed punch list with items he finds deficient to			
350 357		be discussed by staff			
358	Seco	anded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley The AMENDED resolution was APPROVED			
359					
360					
361					
362		CITY OF HARTFORD			
363		HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION			
364		556 ZION STREET			
365					
366	Whereas,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the renovations			
367	······································	to the storefront at 556 Zion Street; and			
368		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
369	Whereas,	The subject site is a contributing property in the Frog Hollow National Historic			
370	-	District; and			

371							
372	Whereas,	The subject structure is a triple decker with a ground floor storefront; and					
373							
374 375	Whereas,	The subject structure was built in 1899; and					
376 377 378	Whereas,	The Commission approved improvements proposed by the Applicant to the storefront in 2017; and					
379 380 381	Whereas,	The Applicant proposed in 2017 to reuse the wood storefront wherever possible; and					
381 382 383	Whereas,	The Applicant proposed to replace any wood components in-kind; and					
384 385 386	Whereas,	The renovated storefront has vinyl paneling that was not used in the late 19th and early 20th centuries; and					
387 388 389	Whereas,	The renovated storefront has vinyl molding that was not used at the time of the construction of the original storefront; and					
390 391 392	Whereas,	The renovated storefront lacks the trim and detailing that would have existed on storefronts at the turn of the 20th century; and					
393 394 395	Whereas,	The renovated storefront windows are smaller than the windows that were installed previously and comprise a smaller percentage of the storefront; and					
395 396 397	Whereas,	The work is consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles;					
398 399		Now Therefore Be It					
400 401	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approved the work as completed with the following conditions:					
402 403 404 405 406		 The originally proposed transom window over the apartment door be installed That the original top window line, which is the same line at the top of the door opening be maintained across the façade, to be worked out between architect, owner and staff 					
407 408		 That the architect supplies a completed punch list with items he finds deficient to be discussed by staff 					
409 410 411		Be It Further,					
411 412 413	Resolved,	This 17th day of March 2021					
414							
415		eacon Street					
416 417	This was a proposal for a rear façade addition to an 1899 Frame Vernacular Religious/ Philanthropic building. It is located in the West End South National Historic District.						
418							
419 420	Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave a thorough overview of the proposal. The applicant, Mr.						
420 421							
422	were i	to relation questions, costiniony of commonts and the rabbie from the was crosed.					
423	Comn	nissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to AMEND the resolution to remove Resolves					
424	2, 3 ai	nd 4. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The AMENDED resolution was					

425	A	PPROVED by a vote of 5-0.			
426 427 428		CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION			
429 430		123 BEACON STREET			
431 432	Whereas,	s, The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed addition to the structure at 123 Beacon Street; and			
433 434 435 436	Whereas,	The subject site is a contributing property in the West End South National Historic District; and			
437 438	Whereas,	The site has a vernacular frame structure; and			
439 440	Whereas,	The subject structure was built in 1899; and			
441 442 443	Whereas,	The structure has been significantly altered with an addition to the front and side facades; and			
444 445	Whereas,	The existing structure is clad with aluminum siding and the roof of the existing structure is clad with asphalt shingles; and			
446 447 448	Whereas,	The structure has 1/1 vinyl double-hung windows with wood trim; and			
449 450	Whereas,	The proposed addition would have a width of 15' and a depth of 12'; and			
451 452	Whereas,	The proposed addition would have asphalt shingles and aluminum siding; and			
453 454	Whereas,	The proposed work is consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles			
455 456		Now Therefore Be It			
457 458 459	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approves the proposed work.			
460 461		Be It Further,			
462 463	Resolved,	This 17th day of March 2021.			
464 465 466 467	Theo	30 Wethersfield Avenue (Colt Park) his was a proposal for the demolition of an ice skating rink that is no longer in use and to onstruct a Futsal Court at Colt Park, which was bequeathed to the City of Harford in 1905. This nd is located in the Coltsville Industrial National Historic District.			
468 469 470 471	Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave a thorough overview of the proposal. Ms. Emily Weckman of TO Design was present and she addressed and answered questions from the Commission. There were no further questions, testimony or comments and the Public Hearing was closed.				
472 473 474 475 476	an	ne Commission deliberated regarding the height, color and material of the fence to be installed ad Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to AMEND the resolution with the llowing condition and recommendation:			
477 478	Co	ondition:			

479 480 481		applicant installs a welded wire fence at the lowest height to be determined by staff it not be more than 8 ft in height.							
481 482 483	Recommendation: 1. That the surface of the court be finished with a colorful graphic design.								
484 485 486	 84 85 Seconded by Commissioner Virginia Seeley. The AMENDED resolution was APPROV 86 WITH CONDITION by a vote of 5-0. 								
487 488 489	HI	CITY OF HARTFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION							
490 491		130 WEATHERSFIELD AVENUE							
491 492 493 494	Whereas,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission reviewed the proposed futsal court at Colt Park; and							
495 496	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to demolish the existing ice rink; and							
497 498	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to construct a futsal court; and							
499 500	Whereas,	The existing ice rink is no longer used; and							
501 502	Whereas,	The proposed futsal court would be 180' x 88'; and							
503 504	Whereas,	The proposed futsal court would have 6 sports lights; and							
505 506	Whereas,	The proposed sports lights would have a height of 30.5'; and							
507 508	Whereas,	The proposed sports lights would use the foundations of the existing lights; and							
509 510 511	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to install a fence with a height of 8' around the perimeter of the court; and							
512 513 514	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to create a seating area for spectators and install 6 tables; and							
515 516	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to remove 26 existing concrete barrier blocks; and							
517 518 519	Whereas,	The Applicant proposes to install a timber guardrail fence along the east side of Morris Street; and							
520 521 522	Whereas,	The nomination for the Coltsville National Historic Landmark District categorized the recreation facilities in Colt Park as non-contributing; and							
523 524	Whereas,	The proposed work is consistent with the City's Historic Design Principles;							
525 526		Now Therefore Be It							
527 528 529	Resolved,	The City of Hartford Historic Preservation Commission hereby approves the proposed work with the following condition and recommendation:							
530 531 532 533		Condition:1. That the applicant installs a welded wire fence at the lowest height to be determined by staff and that it not be more than 8 ft in height.Recommendation:							
		10							

Be It Further, Be Be It Further, Be Resolved, This 17th day of March 2021 Be Resolved, This 17th day of March 2021 Be This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is located in the Frog National Historic District. Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. Mis. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was disappointed with the design. The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time. Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow limit to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021 - Approved as submitted Minutes Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. The were no other nominations. Commission	534			1.	That the surface of the court be finished with a colorful graphic design.
333 Resolved, This 17th day of March 2021 344 9. 130 Pope Park Drive (Pope Park) 345 This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is located in the Frog National Historic District. 345 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. 346 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was disappointed with the design. 357 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time. 358 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioner S, in which he consented. 359 OutperView J17, 2021 - Approved as submitted 351 Houtes 352 Officer Elections 354 Officer Elections 355 Ourmissioner Virginia Sceley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Scended by commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner by a vote of 40. 356 Secretary:				1.	That the surface of the court of finished with a colorial graphic design.
S38 Resolved, This 17th day of March 2021 S39 S I30 Pope Park Drive (Pope Park) S44 This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is located in the Frog National Historic District. S45 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. S45 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. S46 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEX momenting from Ms. May Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was disappointed with the design. S47 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time. S45 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. S45 Minutes S46 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. <				Be	It Further,
 9. 130 Pope Park Drive (Pope Park) This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is located in the Frog National Historic District. Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was disappointed with the design. The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroy ow ho both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time. After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented. Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Merce Claim Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. Was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jo	537				
540 9. 130 Pope Park Drive (Pope Park) 541 This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is 542 This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is 543 located in the Frog National Historic District. 544 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 545 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 546 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 547 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 548 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 549 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 552 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 554 Out of the commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled <			Resolved,	Thi	s 17th day of March 2021
94 130 Pope Park Drive (Pope Park) 542 This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is 543 located in the Frog National Historic District. 544 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 545 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 546 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 547 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 552 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 554 comments at that time. 555 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 556 After much discussion the address the design concerns raised by the public and 557 the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and 558 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until th					
542 This was a proposal for the installation of a T-Ball field and batting cages at Pope Park which is 543 located in the Frog National Historic District. 544 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 545 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 546 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 547 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 552 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 554 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 555 After much discussioners in which he consented. 556 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 557 rebruary 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 568 Minutes 579 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair.		0			
543 located in the Frog National Historic District. 544 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 545 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 546 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the Commission. 547 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 548 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 549 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 552 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 554 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 557 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 558 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 569 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled <t< td=""><td></td><td>9.</td><td></td><th></th><td></td></t<>		9.			
544 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 545 Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky 546 was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. 547 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 548 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 556 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 556 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 557 the commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 558 Minutes 559 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 560 Minutes 570 February 17, 2021– Approvals 571 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair:					
545Senior Planner Autumn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant, Mr. Jon Tunsky was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission.546Ms. Atimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was disappointed with the design.551The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time.552After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0.566Minutes February 17, 2021 – Approved as submitted577February 17, 2021 – Approved as submitted578Vice Chair: There were no other noninations. Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other noninations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0.579Secretary: There were no other noninations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner			located in the	rog	National Historic District.
546 was present and he addressed and answered questions from the Commission. 547 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 548 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 549 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 552 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 554 comments at that time. 555 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 556 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 557 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 558 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 559 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 559 meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a 560 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Senior Planner</td> <th>· Anti</th> <td>umn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant Mr. Ion Tunsky</td>			Senior Planner	· Anti	umn Florek gave an overview of the proposal. The Applicant Mr. Ion Tunsky
547 Ms. Aimee Chambers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx 548 meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was 550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 552 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 554 comments at that time. 555 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 556 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 557 the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and 558 the commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 569 commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item was TABLED by a 561 vote of 5-0. 562 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 563 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 564 Guministrative Approvals 575 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td>					
549meeting from Ms. Mary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was disappointed with the design.551The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time.555After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the commissioners, in which he consented.556Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0.561 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 565Minutes566February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted571Officer Elections572Officer Elections573Vice Chair:574Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0.579Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATI			nus present un		
550 disappointed with the design. 551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time. 555 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented. 560 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. 563 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 564 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 565 Minutes 567 February 17, 2021 – Approved as submitted 578 Vice Chair: 579 Officer Elections 571 Vice Chair: 572 Officer Elections 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary AccEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. 579 Secretary: 570 Secretary: 571 There were no other nominiations. Commissioner	548		Ms. Aimee Ch	ambe	ers, Director of Planning read a note from the chat section of the WebEx
551 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara 553 and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional 554 comments at that time. 555 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 556 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until 557 the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and 558 the Commissioners, in which he consented. 559 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 561 meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a 562 vote of 5-0. 563 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 564 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 575 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 586 Minutes 570 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission 571 Officer Elections 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574	549		meeting from 1	Ms. N	Aary Cockram who stated that she was in support of the project, but was
552The Public Hearing was opened and the commission heard comments from Senator John Fonfara and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time.553After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented.554Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0.555Minutes566OLD/NEW BUSINESS:567February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted568Administrative Approvals579February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair.571Vice Chair:572Officer Elections573Vice Chair:574Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0.575Secretary:586Secretary:587Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary.578Secretary:589Secretary:590Secretary:591Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary.593There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it twas Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0.593Secretary: <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>disappointed v</td><th>vith tl</th><td>ne design.</td></td<>			disappointed v	vith tl	ne design.
553and Mr. Ramon Arroyo who both spoke in support of the project. There were no additional comments at that time.555After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0.560OLD/NEW BUSINESS:565Minutes566February 17, 2021- Approved as submitted567February 17, 2021- Approved as submitted578Officer Elections579Officer Elections571Vice Chair:572Officer Elections573Vice Chair:574Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary avaisoner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary avaisoner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary avaisoner Albert Gary in the office of Secretary.578Secretary:580Secretary:581Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary.582There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and sit was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan					
 comments at that time. After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented. Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Seconded by the Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. 				•	•
 After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented. Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. 					
556After much discussion, the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented.559Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0.561OLD/NEW BUSINESS:562Minutes563February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted564OLD/NEW BUSINESS:565Minutes566Minutes567February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted568Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair.573Vice Chair:574Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair.575There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair.576seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote578of 4-0.579Secretary:580Secretary:581Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary.582Secretary:583Secretary:584Secretary:585Secretary:586Secretary:581Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary.582There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINAT			comments at th	hat th	ne.
 the next scheduled meeting to allow him to address the design concerns raised by the public and the Commissioners, in which he consented. Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 			After much die	cussi	ion the applicant was asked if he would be willing to TABLE this item until
558 the Commissioners, in which he consented. 559 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled 561 meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a 562 vote of 5-0. 563 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 564 Minutes 565 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 568 Administrative Approvals 570 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission 571 Officer Elections 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 578 seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote 579 of 4-0. 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 Secretary: 583 Secretary: 584 Secretary: 585 Secretary: <					
 Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 					
 meeting, April 21, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The item was TABLED by a vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: GLD/NEW BUSINESS: February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Gentrative Approvals February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission For February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it Was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan 					,
 vote of 5-0. vote of 5-0. OLD/NEW BUSINESS: Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Administrative Approvals February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commissioner Jonathan 	560	Commissioner Jonathan Clark made a MOTION to TABLE this item until the next scheduled			
 563 564 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 565 566 Minutes 567 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 568 569 Administrative Approvals 570 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission 571 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 577 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 573 there were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 583 twas Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	561				
 564 OLD/NEW BUSINESS: 565 566 Minutes 567 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted 568 569 Administrative Approvals 570 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission 571 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 577 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 			vote of 5-0.		
 Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Administrative Approvals February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. 					
 Minutes February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Administrative Approvals February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission For Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 		OLD/N	EW BUSINES	5 <u>5:</u>	
 February 17, 2021– Approved as submitted Administrative Approvals February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 					
 Administrative Approvals February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. there were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 					
 569 Administrative Approvals 570 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission 571 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissions by a vote 578 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 		Februar	y 17, 2021– Aj	prov	ed as submitted
 February 4- March 3, 2021 - Reviewed by the Commission Officer Elections Vice Chair: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 					
 571 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary 577 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 578 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	569	Admin	istrative Appr	ovals	
 572 Officer Elections 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary 577 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 578 of 4-0. 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	570	Februar	y 4- March 3, 2	2021	- Reviewed by the Commission
 573 Vice Chair: 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary 577 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 578 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	571				
 574 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair. 575 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it 576 was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary 577 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 578 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	572	Officer	Elections		
 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	573	Vice Cl	nair:		
 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Albert Gary ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 	574	Commi	ssioner Virgini	a See	ley NOMINATED Commissioner Albert Gary to the office of Vice Chair.
 was Seconded by Commissioner Jonathan Clark. The Commission voted and Commissioner Albert Gary was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote of 4-0. Secretary: Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 			•		
 577 was APPROVED as the new Vice Chair of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a vote 578 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 		-			
 578 of 4-0. 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 			•		-
 579 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 					w the chair of the mistorie respectos, reservation commissions by a vote
 580 Secretary: 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 		01 0.			
 581 Commissioner Virginia Seeley NOMINATED Commissioner Jonathan Clark to the office of Secretary. 582 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and 583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 		S 4			
 There were no other nominations. Commissioner Jonathan Clark ACCEPTED the NOMINATION and it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan 			•	C	
583 it was Seconded by Commissioner Albert Gary. The Commission voted and Commissioner Jonathan			-		
584 Clark was APPROVED as the new Secretary of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a	583		-		-
	584	Clark w	as APPROVE	D as	the new Secretary of the Historic Properties/Preservation Commissions by a

- 585 vote of **4-0**.
- 586
- 587 The Hon. Noel McGregor, Town and City Clerk was notified of the results of the election.
- 588

589 Update Re: 151 Ward Street

- 590 Attorney Richard Vassallo informed the Commission that the Applicant/Congregation, Beth Israel came
- before this Commission in 2012 and again in April 2019, seeking a demolition for the property. In both
- instances, they were denied as they had not established that there was not an economical feasible
- alternative. An appeal of the Commission's decision was heard at Superior Court and ruled that the
- applicant was being denied the use of the property. With that said, a Court order, dated March 2, 2021
- remanded the case back to this Commission with an order and instructions that the Historic Commission
- grant the demolition within no more than 60 days from the date of the decision.
- 597

598 ADJOURNMENT

- 599 The meeting adjourned at 8:43 p.m.
- 600601 Respectfully submitted by
- 602 Vanessa L. Walton, Executive Assistant
- 603